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#### Abstract

The research study was done to obtain information from two Kindergarten classes as variables; one class was taught in English, the other, in Bisaya. The effectiveness of language used in learning to read was assessed after explaining different components of reading. This study utilized a quasi-experimental research method that discovered the influence of controlled conditions, of experimental and manipulated variables. Fiftyfour kindergarten pupils of San Pablo Elementary School were the subjects of the study. The results were treated statistically using the mean and two non-parametric tools namely, the Mann-Whitney $U$ test and the Wilcoxon signed rank test to test the significant differences between the two groups and within groups. The data showed an increase in the reading skills of the learners in both classes. This result further implied that there was no significant difference in the usage of English or Bisaya in teaching. Learners, from both classes, were able to learn the concepts presented to them though there was scarcity in instructional materials and other supplemental resources. Using the mother tongue in the classroom did not affect their proficiency and that they were able to perform the skills in reading and this is also true among those in the English group. Thus, it can be concluded that the use of the medium of instruction in the classroom was not a barrier to the teaching of early learners. Be it in English or Bisaya, the results were just comparable and that both languages could be used as a medium of instruction in learning how to read among the young learners.
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## INTRODUCTION

Reading holds the key to the child's future. It admits him into the world of great thoughts, fancy, and wild imagination (Villamin, 1984). But an alarming number of learners failed to make satisfactory progress and performance in learning to read in the first grade. They achieve poorly in their academic subjects, and tendencies for others to drop-out because they were not able to understand the language of teaching and the instruction, especially during the examination period.

In this world of competitiveness, parents, teachers and even policymakers want all learners of all ages to be aggressive competitors. However, the educational system in our country needs an exhaustive study and readjustment. Meeting the demands of quality, globalized education will take years of hard work, not to mention financial support from the government.

Furthermore, the discussion about the reading program has been a problem in the Philippines for many years. Thus, educators and policymakers today face the challenge of capacity to read among a growing number of students. One of those is using an appropriate medium of instruction in teaching reading, either in English and Filipino or the child's mother tongue or vernacular. It usually revolves around the question of the appropriate language of instruction to use for learners who speak more than one language.

Historically, English was introduced in the Philippines in 1898 during the imposition of American rule on the islands. In the last quarter of the century, English has been used as a medium of instruction alongside the national language, now known as Filipino. The need to be proficient in the use of English among non-native speakers has become a global phenomenon. Moreover, using English as a medium of instruction will not only make children proficient academically but also compete globally. Thus, former President Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo issued Executive Order No. 210 Series of 2003 establishing a policy to strengthen the use of English language as a medium of instruction in the educational system.

The Philippine Committee on Education Reform also suggested the use of the vernacular or the child's first language as a bridge to more effective
learning in Filipino and English as well as to facilitate cognitive maturity (Nolasco, 2010). Furthermore, the language of instruction, especially in the mother tongue, is the easiest and fastest way of learning the skills in learning to read since it is the language use at home in which the learners are familiar. In connection with this, DepEd Order 74 series of 2009, Institutionalizes Mother Tongue-Based MLE using more than two languages for literacy and instruction is the fundamental policy of the program which stretched the whole formal education including preschool. The strategy was to develop the cognitive skills of the learner in their First Language and transfer these skills in their Second Language later.

This study presented the effectiveness and the significant differences of the languages used which are English and Bisaya, in teaching and learning children to read and improve the reading performance that would help them achieve their goals in life and develop their full potential to be a successful learner.

## METHODOLOGY

This study utilized a quasi-experimental research method that discovered the influence of controlled conditions, of experimental and manipulated variables. The study investigated the effects of the instruction used in the classroom in reading performance of young children after being exposed to English and Bisaya instruction.

The kindergarten pupils of San Pablo Elementary School were the subjects of the study. The table below shows the population of learners enrolled for the school year 2012-2013.

Table 1. Subjects of the study

| Session | Instruction Used | Male | Female | Total | Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A.M. | English | 11 | 18 | 29 | $54 \%$ |  |
| P.M. | Bisaya | 15 | 10 | 25 | $46 \%$ |  |
| $\mathrm{~N}=100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In gathering the information, the researcher used the teacher-made test based on the competencies of Kindergarten Reading using English and Bisaya instruction. The researcher was advised to make the lesson guide in Reading which covered reading skills taught in the first and second quarters. This guide in Reading was the basis for preparing the assessment
tools that was administered first to the grade one learners to test the validity of the tools.

The lessons were administered for the first and second quarters which were assessed in every quarter. The assessment results were tabulated and evaluated to find out which skills had been mastered by the learners using English and Bisaya instruction. After the lessons had been conducted, the 30 item teacher-made tests using the two different media of instructions were administered. The results were treated statistically using the mean and two non-parametric tools namely, the Mann-Whitney $U$ test and the Wilcoxon signed rank test to test the significant differences between the two groups and within groups.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As to the levels of performance among kindergarten in English and Bisaya groups in the two grading periods concerning phonemic awareness, word recognition, and word comprehension, Table 2 illustrates the findings.

Table 2. Level of performance among kindergarten learners using English as medium of instruction.

| Reading Skills | Total Number of Items | First Grading Period |  |  | Level of Proficiency | Second Grading Period |  |  | Level of Proficiency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of Learners who Failed/ Passed the 75\% Criterion of the 30 - item test |  |  |  | Number Passed | earn <br> 75\% <br> - ite | who Failed/ erion of the st |  |
| Phonemic Awareness | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | Failed | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{x}=8.74 \\ & (87.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | P | Failed | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \bar{x}=9.12 \\ (91.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | A |
|  |  | Passed | 22 |  |  | Passed | 27 |  |  |
| Word Recognition | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | Failed | 4 | $\begin{gathered} \bar{x}=9.07 \\ (90.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A | Failed | 3 | $\begin{gathered} \bar{x}=9.41 \\ (94.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | A |
|  |  | Passed | 25 |  |  | Passed | 26 |  |  |
| Word Comprehension | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | Failed | 15 | $\begin{gathered} \bar{x}=6.66 \\ (66.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | B | Failed | 10 | $\begin{gathered} \bar{x}=7.83 \\ (78.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | D |
|  |  | Passed | 14 |  |  | Passed | 19 |  |  |
| Totality | $\mathrm{n}=30$ | Failed | 9 | $\begin{gathered} \bar{x}=24.47 \\ (81.57 \%) \end{gathered}$ | AP | Failed | 5 | $\begin{gathered} \bar{x}=26.36 \\ (87.87 \%) \end{gathered}$ | P |
|  |  | Passed | 20 |  |  | Passed | 24 |  |  |

Legend: Level of Proficiency (Based on Department of Education's Grading System)

Beginning
(B: 74\% and below)
Developing
Approaching Proficiency
Proficient
Advanced
(D: 75\%-79\%)
(AP: 80\%-84\%)
(P: 85\% -89\%)
(A: 90\% and above)

Table 3: Level of performance among kindergarten learners using Bisaya
as the medium of instruction.

| Reading Skills | Total Number of Items | First Grading Period |  |  | Level of Proficiency | Second Grading Period |  |  | Level of Proficiency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of Learners who Failed/ Passed the 75\% Criterion of the 30- item test |  |  |  | Number of Learners who Failed/ Passed the 75\% Criterion of the 30 - item test |  |  |  |
| Phonemic |  | Failed | 6 | $\bar{x}=8.78$ (87.8\%) | P | Failed | 0 | $\bar{x}=9.52$ (95.2\%) | A |
| Awareness | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | Passed | 19 |  |  | Passed | 25 |  |  |
| Word Re- |  | Failed | 0 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=9.36$ (93.6\%) | A | Failed | 1 | $\bar{x}=9.56$ (95.6\%) | A |
| cognition | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | Passed | 25 |  |  | Passed | 24 |  |  |
| Word Com- |  | Failed | 13 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=7.04$ (70.4\%) | B | Failed | 5 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=8.20$ (82.0\%) | AP |
| prehension | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | Passed | 12 |  |  | Passed | 20 |  |  |
|  |  | Failed | 6 | $\bar{x}=25.18$ (83.93\%) | AP | Failed | 3 | $\bar{x}=27.28$ (90.93\%) | A |
| Totality | $\mathrm{n}=30$ | Passed | 19 |  |  | Passed | 22 |  |  |

Legend: Level of Proficiency (Based on Department of Education's Grading System)

| Beginning | (B: $74 \%$ and below) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Developing | (D: $75 \%-79 \%$ ) |
| Approaching Proficiency | (AP: $80 \%-84 \%$ ) |
| Proficient | (P: $85 \%-89 \%$ ) |
| Advanced | (A: $90 \%$ and above) |

The English Group (Table 2) and Bisaya Group (Table 3) showed increased performance in the three reading skills after conducting the two grading periods. This result proved Krashen's (1982) theory on language acquisition in which he argued that learners acquire foreign languages on basically the same path they acquired their mother tongue.

Both groups of learners mastered the sounds and the awareness of the phonemics and the letters which made it easy for them to distinguish, identify, and discriminate through letter perception. Phonemic awareness and letter knowledge had been identified in several research studies (Anderson, Hiebert, Scott, and Wilkerson, 1988; Adams, 1990; Snow, Burns, \& Griffin, 1998) as the key indicators of how well children master beginning reading skills during the first two years in school. Thus the development of solid phonemic awareness skills should be the main goal of the preschool and kindergarten years.

It can be gleaned that both groups had recognized the words that manifested mastery of the letter sounds and helped learners blend the sounds so that they would be able to read and attack words. The activities help the learners improved their performance in learning how to read. In connection to this, the study made by Amamio (2000) on the attitudes of the students, teachers and parents toward English and Filipino as media of instruction provided an interesting comparison in which students and teachers prefer the use of English as the medium of instruction, with the
teachers finding English as a more comfortable language for explaining ideas and concepts. The lessons they have undergone helped them to learn and cope when they were enrolled in kindergarten.

From the level of difficulty to a bit difficult was the development of the vocabulary skills. The drills and exercises were at learners' level, from learning the sounds of the letters to reading CV (consonant-vowel) and CVC (consonant-vowel-consonant) in which learners were able to identify and read the words presented to them. Thus, beginner readers must be able to make the connection that words are made up of sounds, and that sounds are made up of letters and letter combinations (Gunning, 1996). This understanding is the foundation on which to build solid reading skills.

## Significant mean difference between the English and Bisaya group in terms of their reading performance

Table 4. Mean difference between the English and Bisaya group in terms of reading performance.

| Period | Reading Skills | Mean of English Group | Mean of Bisaya Group | Mean Difference | MannWhitney U value | $\underset{\substack{p-\\ \text { value }}}{ }$ | Interpretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Grading Period | Phonemic <br> Awareness | $\begin{gathered} 8.74 \\ (87.4 \%-P) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.78 \\ (87.8 \%-P) \end{gathered}$ | 0.04 | 360.5 | 0.970 | Not significant |
|  | Word Recognition | $\begin{gathered} 9.07 \\ (90.7 \%-A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.36 \\ (93.6 \%-A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.29 | 323.5 | 0.460 | Not significant |
|  | Word Comprehension | $\begin{gathered} 6.66 \\ (66.6 \%-B) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.04 \\ (70.4 \%-B) \end{gathered}$ | 0.38 | 333.5 | 0.611 | Not significant |
|  | Totality | $\begin{gathered} 24.47 \\ (81.57 \%-A P) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.18 \\ (83.93 \%-A P) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.71 | 333.0 | 0.608 | Not significant |
| Second Grading Period | Phonemic <br> Awareness | $\begin{gathered} 9.12 \\ (91.2 \%-A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.52 \\ (95.2 \%-A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.40 | 317.5 | 0.411 | Not significant |
|  | Word Recognition | $\begin{gathered} 9.41 \\ (94.1 \%-A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.56 \\ (95.6 \%-A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.15 | 337.0 | 0.565 | Not significant |
|  | Word Comprehension | $\begin{gathered} 7.83 \\ (78.3 \%-D) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.20 \\ (82.0 \%-\mathrm{AP}) \end{gathered}$ | 0.37 | 309.5 | 0.347 | Not significant |
|  | Totality | $\begin{gathered} 26.36 \\ (87.87 \%-P) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.28 \\ (90.93 \%-A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.92 | 313.5 | 0.392 | Not significant |

Legend: Level of Proficiency (Based on Department of Education's Grading System)

| Beginning | (B: $74 \%$ and below) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Developing | (D: $75 \%-79 \%)$ |
| Approaching Proficiency | (AP: $80 \%-84 \%$ ) |
| Proficient | (P: $85 \%-89 \%$ ) |
| Advanced | (A: $90 \%$ and above) |

The Reading performance both in English and Bisaya Groups is comparable in the first and second grading periods. Goodman (1993)
agreed that learning to read is an extension of existing language skills and that it is important to understand the written language in the same way as the spoken language is learned. Furthermore, emergent literacy of Clay (1975) explained that when children are actively engaged with interesting and meaningful reading and writing experiences, they develop literacy knowledge early in their lives. The media of instruction used were of the same level and that both languages, English and Bisaya can be used as a media of instruction in teaching and learning how to read.

## Significant mean gain between the first and second grading periods between the English and Bisaya groups

Table 5: The level of performance between the first and second grading period among the English and Bisaya groups.

| Groups | Reading Skills | First Grading Mean | Second Grading Mean | Mean Gain | Wilcoxon Computed z | $p$-value | Interpretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Group | Phonemic <br> Awareness | $\begin{gathered} 8.74 \\ (87.4 \%-P) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.12 \\ (91.2 \%-A) \end{gathered}$ | 0.38 | 1.434 | 0.152 | Not improved |
|  | Word Recognition | $\begin{gathered} 9.07 \\ (90.7 \%-A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.41 \\ (94.1 \%-A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.34 | 1.364 | 0.173 | Not improved |
|  | Word Comprehension | $\begin{gathered} 6.66 \\ (66.6 \%-B) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.83 \\ (78.3 \%-D) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1.17 | 2.507 | 0.012 | Improved |
|  | Totality | $\begin{gathered} 24.47 \\ (81.57 \%-A P) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.36 \\ (87.87 \%-P) \end{gathered}$ | 1.89 | 2.653 | 0.008 | Improved |
| Bisaya Group | Phonemic <br> Awareness | $\begin{gathered} 8.78 \\ (87.8 \%-P) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.52 \\ (95.2 \%-A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.74 | 2.727 | 0.006 | Improved |
|  | Word Recognition | $\begin{gathered} 9.36 \\ (93.6 \%-A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.56 \\ (95.6 \%-A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.20 | 1.232 | 0.218 | Not Improved |
|  | Word Comprehension | $\begin{gathered} 7.04 \\ (70.4 \%-B) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.20 \\ (82.0 \%-\mathrm{AP}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1.16 | 2.445 | 0.014 | Improved |
|  | Totality | $\begin{gathered} 25.18 \\ (83.93 \%-A P) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.28 \\ (90.93 \%-A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2.10 | 2.973 | 0.003 | Improved |

Legend: Level of Proficiency (Based on Department of Education's Grading System)

| Beginning | (B: $74 \%$ and below) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Developing | (D: $75 \%-79 \%)$ |
| Approaching Proficiency | (AP $80 \%-84 \%$ ) |
| Proficient | (P: $85 \%-89 \%$ ) |
| Advanced | (A: $90 \%$ and above) |

The totality of both groups, English and Bisaya, showed an improvement since the researcher who handled the two groups used the common lessons and exercises for practice and drill content exposure. The learning of the production of phonemes has improved the skills of the learners and their experiences through listening and in print have enriched and added those words in their vocabulary. Proper sequencing strengthens children's
children's learning (Dekker, 2002). Learning to read is most efficient when learners know the language and can employ psycholinguistic guessing strategies. Likewise, learners can communicate through writing as soon as they understand the rules of the orthographic (or other written) system of their language. Moreover, mother tongue-based bilingual education not only increases access to skills but also raises the quality of basic education by facilitating classroom interaction and integration of prior knowledge and experiences with new learning (Benson, 2004). Additionally, Kang (2012) in her study "English - only Instruction at Korean Universities: Help or hindrance to Higher Learning," concluded that using the first language for at least some of the instructional time helped learners achieved the content learning process as well as second language and literacy development because it allowed them to transfer their cognitive and meta-cognitive skills from their first language to a second language. Thus, learners of both groups responded to the lessons positively.

## Mean gain difference between English and Bisaya group in terms of their reading performance

| Reading Skills | Grading Period | English Group |  | Bisaya Group |  | MannWhitney u-value | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{p}- \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ | Interpretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Gain | Mean | Gain |  |  |  |
| Phonemic Awareness | First Grading | $\begin{gathered} 8.74 \\ (87.4 \%-\mathrm{P}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.38 | $\begin{gathered} 8.78 \\ (87.8 \%-P) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.74 | 309.5 | 0.338 | Not Significantly Different |
|  | Second Grading | $\begin{gathered} 9.12 \\ (91.2 \%-A) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 9.52 \\ (95.2 \%-A) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Word Recognition | First Grading | $\begin{gathered} 9.07 \\ (90.7 \%-A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.34 | $\begin{gathered} 9.36 \\ (93.6 \%-A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.20 | 310.0 | 0.322 | Not Significantly Different |
|  | Second Grading | $\begin{gathered} 9.41 \\ (94.1 \%-A) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 9.56 \\ (95.6 \%-A) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Word Comprehension | First Grading | $\begin{gathered} 6.66 \\ (66.6 \%-B) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1.17 | $\begin{gathered} 7.04 \\ (70.4 \%-B) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1.16 | 361.5 | 0.986 | Not Significantly Different |
|  | Second Grading | $\begin{gathered} 7.83 \\ (78.3 \%-D) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 8.20 \\ (82.0 \%-\mathrm{AP}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Totality | First Grading | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 24.47 \\ (81.57 \% \text { - AP) } \end{array}$ | 1.89 | $\begin{gathered} 25.18 \\ \text { (83.93\% } \\ \hline \text { AP) } \end{gathered}$ | 2.10 | 329.0 | 0.559 | Not Significantly Different |
|  | Second Grading | $\begin{gathered} 26.36 \\ (87.87 \%-\mathrm{P}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 27.28 \\ (90.93 \%-A) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |

Legend: Level of Proficiency (Based on Department of Education's Grading System)

| Beginning | (B: $74 \%$ and below) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Developing | (D: $75 \%-79 \%$ ) |
| Approaching Proficiency | (AP: $80 \%-84 \%$ ) |
| Proficient | (P: $85 \%-89 \%$ ) |
| Advanced | (A: $90 \%$ and above) |

There was no significant difference in using both English and Bisaya as the media of instruction in teaching and learning how to read. As stated in Krashen's (2002) theory, learners acquire foreign languages, on the same path, they acquired their mother tongue. Learners were able to understand and answer the questions with good and passing marks since both groups were taught either in English or Bisaya. This finding was contradicted by Prodromou's (2000) study in which it was concluded that the more English students learn, the less reliant they are on the L1 and that, as a whole, the students seem to have a negative opinion of L1 use in the classroom. Thus, the mother tongue will not only improve the quality of education, but it may also be the tool for learning and improving English (Netten, Droop, and Verhoeven, 2010).

## CONCLUSION

The use of the medium of instruction was not a barrier to the teaching and learning of reading for the young learners using the second language or the mother tongue. And that both English and Bisaya did not vary in learning how to read having limited instructional materials using Bisaya as the language of instruction. There was a comparable result with that of the English instruction.
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